

## REMARKS

Claims 1-21, 23-43, and 62-67 remain for consideration in this application. Claims 1, 62 and 63 are independent, with claims 1 and 63 being drawn to tackifier resins *per se*, and claim 62 is directed to a tackifier made by a claimed process.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's consideration of our arguments, and the removal of the rejection of Claims 1-48 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitmire et. al (U.S. Patent 4,912,169).

The Examiner has stated that the restriction requirement concerning Claims 49-61 has been made final. These claims have been canceled in this amendment as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 66-67 have been provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over copending application (10/780,989). A terminal disclaimer is being filed with this amendment to overcome this rejection. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be removed.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Eastman Chemical Company  
P.O. Box 511  
Kingsport, Tennessee 37662  
Phone: (423) 229-6204  
FAX: (423) 229-1239

Polly C. Owen  
Polly C. Owen  
Registration No. 44,991

July 23rd, 2007  
Date

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Rebecca L. White  
Rebecca L. White

July 23, 2007  
Date